

RFT 8311801: Request for Tenders for the establishment of a Multi Supplier Framework Agreement for the provision of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Development, Managed Services and Support Services

Message Ref No	Question	Contracting Authority Response
701062	Could the Contracting Authority please clarify the expected place of performance for the services under all lots? In particular: 1. Are the proposed resources required to be physically located in Ireland, or may they be based anywhere within the European Union / European Economic Area? 2. Will the services be delivered on-site, off-site (remote), or through a hybrid model? 3. If on-site presence is required, could the Contracting Authority indicate the expected frequency (e.g. full-time on-site, specific number of days per week/month, or only upon request)? 4. Will the place of performance and on-site attendance requirements be defined at framework level or only at the mini-competition / call-off contract stage?	The Contracting Authority expects that, depending on the nature of future requirements, the specifics of which have yet to be finalised, there will be a requirement for both on-site attendance and remote working. In that context, it is important that Framework Members can commit to and deliver both.
701166	We note that for Lots 2 and 3 the RFT states: “There will a requirement in some instances to provide fulltime on-premises resources.” Could you please clarify:	Please see response to message number 701062

Message Ref No	Question	Contracting Authority Response
	• Which specific profiles of these lots are expected to work full-time on-site? • In which types of assignments or situations (instances) such on-site presence would be required? • Whether hybrid (remote + occasional on-site) delivery would be acceptable for Lots 2 and 3 in the instances where on-premises work is not required; • Whether off-site delivery, from the contractors premises, of Lots 1 and 4, where no specific on-site requirement is indicated, would be possible. Thank you in advance.	

This is a republished version of the clarification issued on 10062026. The original version did not reference message number 701062 as a response to message reference number 701166